arXiv:math/0005106v1 [math.QA] 11 May 2000

DERIVATIONS WITH QUANTUM GROUP ACTION

Ulrich Hermisson

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Leipzig
Augustusplatz 10, 04109 Leipzig, Germany
uhermiss@rz.uni-leipzig.de

Abstract. The derivations of a left coideal subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of a Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which are compatible with the comultiplication of $\mathcal{A}$ (that is, the covariant first order differential calculi, as defined by Woronowicz, on a quantum homogeneous space) are related to certain right ideals of $\mathcal{B}$. The correspondence is one-to-one if $\mathcal{A}$ is faithfully flat as a right $\mathcal{B}$-module. This generalizes the result for $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ due to Woronowicz. A definition for the dimension of a first order differential calculus at a classical point is given. For the quantum 2-sphere S^2_{qc} of Podleś under the assumptions $q^{n+1} \neq 1$ and $c \neq -q^{2n}/(q^{2n}+1)^2$ for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots,$ three 2-dimensional covariant first order differential calculi exist if $c = 0$, one exists if $c = \mp q/(\pm q + 1)^2$ and none else. This extends a result of Podleś.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A derivation of an algebra $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathbf{C}$ (the complex numbers) is defined as a $\mathbf{C}$-linear map d from $\mathcal{B}$ into a $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\mathrm{d}(a\,b) = a\,\mathrm{d}b + \mathrm{d}a\,b \qquad \text{for all } a,\, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

In this paper, $\mathrm{d}a\,b$ means $(\mathrm{d}a)\,b$. We set $\Gamma(\mathrm{d}) = \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{a\,\mathrm{d}b \mid a,\, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ (the $\mathbf{C}$-linear span). We write $\mathrm{d}' \leq \mathrm{d}$, if d' and d are derivations of $\mathcal{B}$ and the $\mathbf{C}$-linear map $\Gamma(\mathrm{d}) \to \Gamma(\mathrm{d}') : a\,\mathrm{d}b \mapsto a\,\mathrm{d}'b$ is well-defined, and consider derivations d, d′ of $\mathcal{B}$ identical, if $\mathrm{d}' \leq \mathrm{d}$ and $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{d}'$. The set of derivations of $\mathcal{B}$ with $\leq$ is a complete lattice, this follows from [16] Prop. 1.1. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $*$-algebra, then $a\,\mathrm{d}^*b := \mathrm{d}(b^*)\,a^*$ defines an involution on the set of derivations of $\mathcal{B}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ a Hopf algebra over $\mathbf{C}$ with comultiplication Δ, counit ε and antipode S, cf. [14]. We set $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbf{C}}$ and

$$a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} = \Delta(a), \quad a_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{(n+1)} = a_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{(n-1)} \otimes \Delta(a_{(n)})$$

for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ (Sweedler's notation) and use the map $^+ : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ defined by $a^+ = a - \varepsilon(a)\,1$. We assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and a left coideal, i.e. $\Delta(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, and call a derivation d of $\mathcal{B}$ equivariant if and only if the $\mathbf{C}$-linear map

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{d}) \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma(\mathrm{d}) : a\,\mathrm{d}b \mapsto a_{(1)}\,b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}\,\mathrm{d}b_{(2)}, \qquad a,\, b \in \mathcal{B},$$

is well-defined. The notion of (equivariant) derivation is the same as that of (covariant) first order differential calculus introduced in [16], [10].

The algebra $\mathcal{B}$ may be viewed as the function algebra of a quantum homogeneous space associated to the quantum group with the function algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Accordingly, as proposed in [16] and [10], [11], the equivariant derivations may be considered constituents of flexibilized (deformed) laws of nature with differential operations which are supposed to be still invariant under the quantum group action.

In Section 2, a way is prepared for determining the equivariant derivations e.g. for the quantizations of symmetric spaces in [8], [2]. It is used in Section 3 in the case of the quantum 2-sphere of Podleś [9] for classifying the 2-dimensional covariant first order differential calculi. Their existence is proved by construction in Section 4.

2. ONE-TO-ONE CORRESPONDENCES

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ a left coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

(i) Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$. Let $p : \mathcal{B}^+ \to \mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R}$ be the canonical projection. Then $a\,\mathrm{d}b := a\,b_{(1)} \otimes p(b^+_{(2)})$ uniquely determines an equivariant derivation $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}} := \mathrm{d}$ of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\overline{} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/(\mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{A})$ be the canonical projection, $\overline{\Delta} := (\overline{} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta$. Then $\mathcal{R}' := \overline{\Delta}^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R})$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$, $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, such that $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}') \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}'}$.

(ii) Let d be a derivation of $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}} := \left\{\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i)\,b_i^+ \;\middle|\; \sum_i a_i\,\mathrm{d}b_i = 0\right\}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$. If d is equivariant, then $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

(iii) The maps $\mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}$, $\mathrm{d} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ establish a one-to-one correspondence of

- $\{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}} \mid \mathrm{d}$ an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}\}$ and
- $\{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}} \mid \mathcal{R}$ a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+\}$,

where $\leq$ for the derivations corresponds to $\supseteq$ for the right ideals. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$, and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}} \leq \mathrm{d}$, if d is an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. (i) The left module operation on $\Gamma(\mathrm{d}) = \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{a\,\mathrm{d}b \mid a,\, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is determined by $c\,(a\,\mathrm{d}b) = (c\,a)\,\mathrm{d}b$ and the right module operation by $(a\,\mathrm{d}b)\,c = a\,\mathrm{d}(b\,c) - a\,b\,\mathrm{d}c$. This proves uniqueness. To prove existence, we must show that the right module operation is well-defined (a) and satisfies the right module axioms (b), furthermore that d is equivariant (c). Well-definedness and axioms of the left module operation, the bimodule axiom and the Leibniz rule clearly hold true.

(a) If $\sum_i a_i\,\mathrm{d}b_i = 0$, that is, $\sum_i a_i\,b_{i(1)} \otimes p(b^+_{i(2)}) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\textstyle\sum_i a_i\,\mathrm{d}b_i\right) c \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i \left(a_i\,\mathrm{d}(b_i\,c) - a_i\,b_i\,\mathrm{d}c\right) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i \left(a_i\,b_{i(1)}\,c_{(1)} \otimes p((b_{i(2)}\,c_{(2)})^+) - a_i\,b_i\,c_{(1)} \otimes p(c^+_{(2)})\right) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i \big(a_i\,b_{i(1)}\,c_{(1)} \otimes p(b_{i(2)}\,c_{(2)} - \varepsilon(b_{i(2)}\,c_{(2)})) \\
&\qquad\quad - a_i\,b_{i(1)}\,c_{(1)} \otimes p(\varepsilon(b_{i(2)})\,c_{(2)} - \varepsilon(b_{i(2)}\,c_{(2)}))\big) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i a_i\,b_{i(1)}\,c_{(1)} \otimes p(b^+_{i(2)}\,c_{(2)}) \\
&\quad = \left(\textstyle\sum_i a_i\,b_{i(1)} \otimes p(b^+_{i(2)})\right)(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{R}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}$. Hence, the specified right module operation is well-defined.

(b) We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
((a\,\mathrm{d}b)\,c)\,d &= (a\,\mathrm{d}(b\,c))\,d - (a\,b\,\mathrm{d}c)\,d \\
&= a\,\mathrm{d}(b\,c\,d) - a\,b\,c\,\mathrm{d}d - a\,b\,\mathrm{d}(c\,d) + a\,b\,c\,\mathrm{d}d = (a\,\mathrm{d}b)\,(c\,d)
\end{aligned}$$

and, since $\mathrm{d}1 = 1 \otimes p(1^+) = 0$, $(a\,\mathrm{d}b)\,1 = a\,\mathrm{d}(b\,1) - a\,b\,\mathrm{d}1 = a\,\mathrm{d}b$.

(c) The map $a\,\mathrm{d}b \mapsto a_{(1)}\,b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}\,\mathrm{d}b_{(2)}$ is given by $\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}$.

We still have to show the assertions about $\mathcal{R}'$. Since $\varepsilon(\mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{A}$, the maps $\varepsilon_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbf{C} : \overline{a} \mapsto \varepsilon(a)$ and $\overline{\Delta}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}} : \overline{a} \mapsto \overline{a_{(1)}} \otimes \overline{a_{(2)}}$ are well-defined, and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\overline{\Delta}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}$ is a coalgebra. If $a \in \mathcal{R}'$, then $a = \varepsilon_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}(\overline{a_{(1)}})\,a_{(2)} \in \mathcal{R}$, thus $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Because $\mathcal{R}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}$, $\overline{\Delta}(a\,b) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}^+$, so $\mathcal{R}'$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$. From $\overline{a_{(1)}} \otimes \overline{\Delta}(a_{(2)}) = \overline{\Delta}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}(\overline{a_{(1)}}) \otimes a_{(2)} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ follows $\overline{a_{(1)}} \otimes a_{(2)} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \overline{\Delta}^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}) = \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}'$, thus $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}') \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}'$. Let $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i = 0$ with $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}$, that is, $\sum_i a_i \, b_{i(1)} \otimes b^+_{i(2)} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\sum_i a_i \, b_{i(1)} \otimes \overline{\Delta}(b^+_{i(2)}) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i \big(a_{i(1)} \, b_{i(1)} \otimes \overline{\varepsilon(a_{i(2)}) \, b_{i(2)}} \otimes b^+_{i(3)} + a_i \, b_{i(1)} \otimes \overline{b^+_{i(2)}} \otimes 1\big) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i a_{i(1)} \, b_{i(1)} \otimes \overline{a_{i(2)} \, b_{i(2)}} \otimes b^+_{i(3)} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\sum_i a_i \, b_{i(1)} \otimes b^+_{i(2)} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\Delta}^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{R}'$, therefore $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i = 0$ with $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}'}$. Hence, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}'} \le \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}$, while $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}} \le \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}'}$ follows from $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

(ii) If $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i = 0$, then $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i \, c = \sum_i (a_i \, \mathrm{d}(b_i \, c) - a_i \, b_i \, \mathrm{d}c) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\sum_i (\varepsilon(a_i) \, (b_i \, c)^+ - \varepsilon(a_i \, b_i) \, c^+) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i (\varepsilon(a_i) \, b_i \, c - \varepsilon(a_i) \, \varepsilon(b_i \, c) - \varepsilon(a_i \, b_i) \, c + \varepsilon(a_i \, b_i) \, \varepsilon(c)) \\
&\quad = \textstyle\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i) \, b^+_i \, c \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$. If d is equivariant and $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i = 0$, then $\sum_i f(a_{i(1)} \, b_{i(1)}) \, a_{i(2)} \, \mathrm{d}b_{i(2)} = 0$ for any **C**-linear functional f on $\mathcal{A}$. This implies that $\sum_i f(a_i \, b_{i(1)}) \, b^+_{i(2)} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$. From this and

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\Delta}\big(\textstyle\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i) \, b^+_i\big) &= \textstyle\sum_i \big(\overline{\varepsilon(a_i) \, b_{i(1)}} \otimes b_{i(2)} - \overline{\varepsilon(a_i \, b_i) \, 1} \otimes 1\big) \\
&= \textstyle\sum_i \big(\overline{a_i \, b_{i(1)}} \otimes b_{i(2)} - \overline{a_i \, b_i} \otimes 1\big) \\
&= \textstyle\sum_i \overline{a_i \, b_{i(1)}} \otimes b^+_{i(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

(iii) Directly from the definitions, we have

(a) $(\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{R}) \Rightarrow (\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}} \le \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}'})$ for right ideals $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}'$ of $\mathcal{B}^+$, and

(b) $(\mathrm{d}' \le \mathrm{d}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}'})$ for derivations d, d′ of $\mathcal{B}$.

We show that, in addition,

(c) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$, and

(d) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}} \le \mathrm{d}$, if d is an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$.

(c) Let $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i = 0$ with $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}$, that is, $\sum_i a_i \, b_{i(1)} \otimes p(b^+_{i(2)}) = 0$ with the canonical projection $p : \mathcal{B}^+ \to \mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R}$. Application of $\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}$ leads to $p\big(\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i) \, b^+_i\big) = 0$, therefore $\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i) \, b^+_i \in \mathcal{R}$.

(d) If $\sum_i a_i \, \mathrm{d}b_i = 0$, then $\sum_i f(a_{i(1)} \, b_{i(1)}) \, a_{i(2)} \, \mathrm{d}b_{i(2)} = 0$ for any **C**-linear functional f on $\mathcal{A}$, since d is equivariant. Thus $\sum_i f(a_i \, b_{i(1)}) \, b^+_{i(2)} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$

and $\sum_i f(a_i\, b_{i(1)})\, p(b^+_{i(2)}) = 0$, where $p : \mathcal{B}^+ \to \mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the canonical projection. This implies that $\sum_i a_i\, \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}} b_i = \sum_i a_i\, b_{i(1)} \otimes p(b^+_{i(2)}) = 0$.

If d is an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ by (c) and (d), (b). If $\mathcal{R}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$, then $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}}} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by (d) and (c), (a). This proves assertion (iii). □

In particular, the trivial derivation $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{B}^+}$, the universal derivation $\mathrm{d}_{\{0\}}$ and, if $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, the commutative universal derivation $\mathrm{d}_{(\mathcal{B}^+)^2}$ occur in the one-to-one correspondence. The equivariant derivations of $\mathcal{B}$ induced from those of $\mathcal{A}$ and e.g. the calculi in [1], [4], [10], [11] also correspond to right ideals of $\mathcal{B}^+$ in this way. For $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$, Woronowicz [16] shows that all equivariant derivations have this property. We generalize this result using a theorem of Takeuchi [15] which requires further notations.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a coalgebra, $\mathcal{W}$ a right $\mathcal{C}$-comodule and $\mathcal{V}$ a left $\mathcal{C}$-comodule. The cotensor product $\mathcal{W} \,\square_{\mathcal{C}}\, \mathcal{V}$ is defined as the subspace

$$\left\{\textstyle\sum_i w_i \otimes v_i \in \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{V} \;\middle|\; \sum_i w_{i(1)} \otimes w_{i(2)} \otimes v_i = \sum_i w_i \otimes v_{i(1)} \otimes v_{i(2)}\right\}$$

of $\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{V}$; the Sweedler notation is used for the $\mathcal{C}$-comodule operations $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{V}$. The category of the left $\mathcal{B}$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ with left $\mathcal{A}$-comodule structure such that $(b\,m)_{(1)} \otimes (b\,m)_{(2)} = b_{(1)}\, m_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}\, m_{(2)}$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, together with the $\mathcal{B}$-linear, $\mathcal{A}$-colinear maps, is denoted by ${}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{M}$. The category of the left $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$-comodules, together with the $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$-colinear maps, is denoted by ${}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\mathrm{M}$. Generally, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $\mathcal{B}$-module, $\overline{} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}/(\mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{M})$ denotes the canonical projection. We have shown in the proof of Theorem 1 (i) that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a coalgebra. Correspondingly, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is an object of ${}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\mathrm{M}$, if $\mathcal{M}$ is an object of ${}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{M}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A} \,\square_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\, \mathcal{V}$ with the induced structure of $\mathcal{A}$ is an object of ${}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{M}$, if $\mathcal{V}$ is an object of ${}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\mathrm{M}$. That a right $\mathcal{B}$-module $\mathcal{A}$ is faithfully flat means that the functor $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}$ from the category of left $\mathcal{B}$-modules to the category of $\mathbf{C}$-vector spaces preserves and reflects exact sequences.

The following result, actually the equivalent one with the opposite multiplication and comultiplication, is contained in [15], proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem (Takeuchi). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ a left coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is faithfully flat as a right $\mathcal{B}$-module, then the maps

$$\Xi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{A} \,\square_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\, \overline{\mathcal{M}} : m \mapsto m_{(1)} \otimes \overline{m_{(2)}} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\Theta : \overline{\mathcal{A} \,\square_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\, \mathcal{V}} \to \mathcal{V} : \overline{\textstyle\sum_i a_i \otimes v_i} \mapsto \textstyle\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i)\, v_i$$

are bijective for all objects $\mathcal{M}$ of ${}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{M}$ and all objects $\mathcal{V}$ of ${}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\mathrm{M}$. □

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{B}$ a left coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is faithfully flat as a right $\mathcal{B}$-module, then $\mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}$, $\mathrm{d} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as in Theorem 1 establish a one-to-one correspondence between

- the right ideals $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{B}^+$ with $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ and
- the equivariant derivations of $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. If d is an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$, then $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ according to Theorem 1 (ii), so it remains to show that

(a) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}} = \mathrm{d}$, if d is an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$, and

(b) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}} = \mathcal{R}$, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$ with $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}$.

(a) Let d be an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\Gamma(\mathrm{d})$ is an object of ${}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{M}$. According to Takeuchi's Theorem, the map

$$\Xi : \Gamma(\mathrm{d}) \to \mathcal{A} \,\square_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})} : a\,\mathrm{d}b \mapsto a_{(1)}\, b_{(1)} \otimes \overline{a_{(2)}\,\mathrm{d}b_{(2)}} = a\, b_{(1)} \otimes \overline{\mathrm{d}b_{(2)}}$$

is bijective. The kernel of $\iota : \mathcal{B}^+ \to \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})} : c \mapsto \overline{\mathrm{d}c}$ is $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$: If $\sum_i a_i\,\mathrm{d}b_i = 0$, then $\overline{\mathrm{d}\big(\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i)\, b_i^+\big)} = \overline{\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i)\,\mathrm{d}b_i} = \overline{\sum_i a_i\,\mathrm{d}b_i} = 0$, and if $\overline{\mathrm{d}c} = 0$, then c_{ij}, a_i, $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ exist such that $\mathrm{d}c - \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^+\, a_i\,\mathrm{d}b_j = 0$, therefore $c^+ \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$. The $\mathbf{C}$-linear map $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota^{-1}) \circ \Xi : \Gamma(\mathrm{d}) \to \Gamma(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}}) : a\,\mathrm{d}b \mapsto a\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}}b$ is injective and surjective, thus $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}}} = \mathrm{d}$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a right ideal of $\mathcal{B}^+$ with $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Then $\mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R}$ is an object of ${}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\mathrm{M}$. Furthermore $\Gamma(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \,\square_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} (\mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R})$, which follows from $a\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}b = a\, b_{(1)} \otimes p(b_{(2)}^+)$, where $p : \mathcal{B}^+ \to \mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R}$ is the canonical projection, and $a_{(1)}\, b_{(1)} \otimes \overline{a_{(2)}\, b_{(2)}} \otimes p(b_{(3)}^+) = a\, b_{(1)} \otimes \overline{b_{(2)}} \otimes p(b_{(3)}^+)$. According to Takeuchi's Theorem, the map

$$\Theta\big|_{\overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}})}} : \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}})} \to \mathcal{B}^+/\mathcal{R} : \overline{a\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}b} = \overline{a\, b_{(1)} \otimes p(b_{(2)}^+)} \mapsto \varepsilon(a)\, p(b^+)$$

is injective. The kernel of $\iota : \mathcal{B}^+ \to \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}})} : c \mapsto \overline{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}c}$ is $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}}$, see the proof of (a), thus $\ker(\Theta \circ \iota) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}}$. Since $\Theta \circ \iota = p$, we obtain $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{R}}} = \mathcal{R}$. $\square$

In Section 4, we give examples of equivariant derivations which do not arise from a right ideal as in Theorem 1 (i), so the statement of the theorem without the condition of faithful flatness is false. However, due to Müller and Schneider [7] this condition is verified for the quantizations of symmetric spaces by Noumi, Dijkhuizen and Sugitani [8], [2] and for the quantized flag manifolds [13].

3. CLASSIFICATION

We call $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d} := \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\Gamma(\mathrm{d})/(\mathcal{B}^+\Gamma(\mathrm{d})))$ the left dimension and analogously $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} := \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\Gamma(\mathrm{d})/(\Gamma(\mathrm{d})\mathcal{B}^+))$ the right dimension of a first order differential calculus d over $\mathcal{B}$ at the classical point ε. If $\mathcal{B}$ is the algebra of regular functions on a nonsingular affine algebraic variety, then $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d}_{(\mathcal{B}^+)^2} = \dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d}_{(\mathcal{B}^+)^2}$ is the dimension of it. If a basis of $\Gamma(\mathrm{d})$ as a left $\mathcal{B}$-module exists, e.g. if $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ and d is left-covariant, cf. [16], then $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d}$ is the number of its elements.

We assume that $q \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $q^n \neq 1$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. For the quantum 2-sphere of Podleś we may equivalently choose $\mathcal{A}$ to be one of the quantum group function algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))$, $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SO}_{q^2}(3))$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Sp}_{q^{1/2}}(2))$ which are described in [12]. The function algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{qc})$ of the quantum 2-sphere, parameterized by $c \in \mathbf{CP}^1$, are the $\mathcal{A}$-comodule algebras (except for one) which are isomorphic as a comodule to the classical case and generated as an algebra by the spin 1 subcomodule, cf. [9]. They are isomorphic to right coideal subalgebras $\mathcal{B}_c$ of $\mathcal{A}$, so the equivalents of our theorems with the opposite multiplication and comultiplication are applicable (we silently assume this exchange of left and right). The algebras $\mathcal{B}_c$ are generated by three elements e_{-1}, e_0, e_1 with the relations

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&(q^2+1)\, e_{-1}\, e_1 + e_0^2 + (q^{-2}+1)\, e_1\, e_{-1} = \rho\, 1,\\
&-q^2\, e_{-1}\, e_0 + e_0\, e_{-1} = \lambda\, e_{-1},\\
&(q^2+1)\, e_{-1}\, e_1 - (q^2-1)\, e_0^2 - (q^2+1)\, e_1\, e_{-1} = \lambda\, e_0,\\
&-q^2\, e_0\, e_1 + e_1\, e_0 = \lambda\, e_1,
\end{aligned}\right. \qquad \rho,\, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \qquad (*)$$

such that $c = \varepsilon(e_{-1})\, \varepsilon(e_1) : \varepsilon(e_0)^2$ and $\Delta(e_i) = \sum_j e_j \otimes \pi^j_i$, cf. [9] ($q$ is μ, π^i_j is $d_{1,ij}$). Special values of c are $c(n) = -q^{2n}/(q^{2n}+1)^2$.

We classify the equivariant derivations d of $\mathcal{B}_c$ with $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} = 2$ which arise from a left ideal as in Theorem 1 (i). If $c \neq c(n)$ for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is faithfully flat as a left $\mathcal{B}_c$-module, cf. [5], [6], [7], and according to Theorem 2 our classification includes all equivariant derivations with $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} = 2$. We denote by $\overline{}$, $\overline{\Delta}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ the equivalents of the previously used structures with left and right reversed. The map $\chi : \mathcal{B}_c \to \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})} : b \mapsto \overline{\mathrm{d}b}$ induces a $\mathbf{C}$-linear bijection between $\mathcal{B}^+_c/\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}$, see the proof of Theorem 2, i.e. we must determine the left ideals $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{B}^+_c$ with $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B}^+_c/\mathcal{L}) = 2$ and $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{L} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. The Hochschild coboundary maps of the quotient $\mathcal{B}_c$-bimodule $\overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}$ are defined as

$$\delta^0 : \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B}_c, \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}) : \overline{\omega} \mapsto (b \mapsto b\,\overline{\omega} - \overline{\omega}\, b) \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\delta^n : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B}_c^{\otimes n}, \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B}_c^{\otimes(n+1)}, \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}),$$

$$\delta^n f(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n) = b_0\, f(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i\, f(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{i-1}\, b_i \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n) + (-1)^{n+1}\, f(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-1})\, b_n$$

for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Hence, χ is a 1-cocycle: $a\,\chi(b) - \chi(a\,b) + \chi(a)\,b = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_c$. Let $\tau : \mathcal{B}_c \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}, \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})})$ be the representation of $\mathcal{B}_c$ on the quotient left $\mathcal{B}_c$-module $\overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d})}$. Using coordinates, this says

$$\chi_i(a\,b) = \textstyle\sum_k \tau_{ik}(a)\,\chi_k(b) + \chi_i(a)\,\varepsilon(b), \qquad \chi_i(1) = 0,$$
$$\tau_{ij}(a\,b) = \textstyle\sum_k \tau_{ik}(a)\,\tau_{kj}(b), \qquad \tau_{ij}(1) = \delta_{ij}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_c$ and $i, j = 1, 2$. Given a representation τ, the 1-coboundaries $\chi_i = \sum_k \beta_k\,\tau_{ik} - \beta_i\,\varepsilon$ with $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ are solutions to these equations, and further solutions exist exactly if the first cohomology group $(\ker\delta^1)/(\mathrm{im}\,\delta^0)$ is not $\{0\}$. The equations imply that the functions χ_i and τ_{ij} are uniquely determined by their values on e_{-1}, e_0, e_1 and exist for given values if they are compatible with the relations $(*)$. The solutions in suitable coordinates are $\chi_i = \sum_k \beta_k\,\tau_{ik} - \beta_i\,\varepsilon + \sum_n \beta'_n\,\xi^n_i$ with $\beta_i, \beta'_n \in \mathbf{C}$,

(a) $\tau(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = x^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} q^{-2}\,\alpha_{-1}\,\alpha_1 & \alpha_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{-1}\,\alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & -(q^2+1) \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), x \left(\begin{smallmatrix} q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right),$
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\alpha_{-1} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^{-2}\,\alpha_1$ and
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 \\ \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^2\,\alpha_1$,

(b) $\tau(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = x^{-1}\,\alpha_{-1}\,\alpha_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), x \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right),$
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_{-1} \\ -\alpha_{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = \alpha_1$ and
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^2\,\alpha_1$,

(c) $\tau(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \alpha_{-1}\,\alpha_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{smallmatrix}\right),$
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_{-1} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = \alpha_1$ and
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^2\,\alpha_1$,
$\xi^2(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ -\alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $y = \alpha_1$ and
$\xi^2(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $y = q^2\,\alpha_1$,

where $x, y \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha_i = \varepsilon(e_i)$ and, if not specified otherwise, $\xi^j = 0$; in addition, if $c = c(1)$,

(d) $\tau(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \alpha_{-1}\,\alpha_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),$
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_{-1} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = \alpha_1$ and
$\xi^1(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^2\,\alpha_1$,

(e) $\tau(e_{-1}, e_0, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$

and if $c = c(2)$,

(f) $\tau(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \frac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\,\alpha_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \frac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\,\alpha_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & q^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \frac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\,\alpha_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^2 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$

and if $c = 0$,

(a') $\tau(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = x \left(\begin{smallmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & -(q^{-2}+1) \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ x^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \alpha_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$,
$\xi^1(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^2\,\alpha_{-1}$ and
$\xi^1(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ -(q^{-2}+1)\,\alpha_{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 \\ \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ if $x = q^{-2}\,\alpha_{-1}$,

(b') $\tau(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 1 \\ 0 & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $s\,t = 0$,
$\xi^1(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ q^2\,s\,\alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^2+1)\,s \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $\alpha_{-1} = 0$ and $t = \alpha_1$,
$\xi^2(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $\alpha_{-1} = 0$ and $t = q^2\,\alpha_1$,

(b") $\tau(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s & 1 \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$,
$\xi^1(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $s = \alpha_{-1}$,
$\xi^2(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^{-2}+1)\,\alpha_{-1} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $s = q^{-2}\,\alpha_{-1}$,

(c') $\tau(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $s\,t = u\,v = 0$,
$\xi^1(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^{-2}+1)\,\alpha_{-1} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $s = q^{-2}\,\alpha_{-1}$ and $t = \alpha_1$,
$\xi^2(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $s = \alpha_{-1}$ and $t = q^2\,\alpha_1$,
$\xi^3(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ -(q^{-2}+1)\,\alpha_{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $u = q^{-2}\,\alpha_{-1}$ and $v = \alpha_1$,
$\xi^4(e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ -(q^2+1)\,\alpha_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, if $u = \alpha_{-1}$ and $v = q^2\,\alpha_1$,

where $s,\, t,\, u,\, v \in \mathbf{C}$. The solutions (b'), (c') contain (b), (c).

The condition $\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{L} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ implies that $\chi(b_{(1)}) f(b_{(2)}) = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathbf{C}$-linear functionals f on $\mathcal{A}$ with $f(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}_c^+) = \{0\}$, therefore $\chi_1 f,\, \chi_2 f \in \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{\chi_1,\, \chi_2\}$. Such a functional (taken from [3]) is

$$f = \alpha_0\,(l^2 - \varepsilon) - \alpha_1\, l^{(+)}\, l + \alpha_{-1}\, l^{(-)}\, l$$

with $l = l^{(+)}_{11}$, $l^{(+)} = l^{(+)}_{12}$ and $l^{(-)} = l^{(-)}_{21}$ as specified for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))$ in [12]. The conditions $\chi_1 f,\, \chi_2 f \in \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{\chi_1,\, \chi_2\}$, checked by evaluation of χ_1, χ_2, $\chi_1 f$ and $\chi_2 f$ on e_i, $e_i\, e_j$ and $e_i\, e_j\, e_k$ for $i,\, j,\, k = -1,\, 0,\, 1$, and $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{\chi_1,\, \chi_2\} = 2$ reduce the total number of solutions to seven:

1.+2. $c = \mp q/(\pm q + 1)^2$, (a) with $x = \pm q^{-1}\,\alpha_1$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_0\, x\,(x - \alpha_1)}$.

3.+4. $c = c(2)$, (f) with $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \in \left\{\frac{(q^4+1)\,\alpha_1}{q^4\,\alpha_0},\ \frac{(q^4+1)\,\alpha_1}{\alpha_0}\right\}$.

5.–7. $c = 0$, $\alpha_{-1} = 0 = \alpha_1$,
(b”) with $s = 0$ and $\beta'_1 \neq 0 = \beta'_2$,
(b’) with $s = t = 0$ and $\beta'_1 \neq 0 = \beta'_2$,
(c’) with $s = t = u = v = 0$ and $\beta'_1\, \beta'_4 \neq \beta'_2\, \beta'_3$.

5.–7. $c = 0$, $\alpha_{-1} \neq 0 = \alpha_1$,
(c’) with $s = q^2\, \alpha_{-1}$, $u = q^4\, \alpha_{-1}$, $t = v = 0$ and $\beta_1 \neq 0 \neq \beta_2$,
(a’) with $x = q^{-2}\, \alpha_{-1}$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = \frac{q^2}{(q^4-1)\,\alpha_0}$, $\frac{\beta_2}{\beta'_1} = \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{(q^2-1)\,\alpha_0}$,
(c’) with $s = q^{-2}\, \alpha_{-1}$, $u = q^2\, \alpha_{-1}$, $t = v = 0$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta'_1} = \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{(q^2-1)\,\alpha_0}$, $\beta_2 \neq 0$.

5.–7. $c = 0$, $\alpha_{-1} = 0 \neq \alpha_1$,
(a) with $x = q^2\, \alpha_1$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = -\frac{q^2}{(q^4-1)\,\alpha_0}$, $\frac{\beta_2}{\beta'_1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{(q^{-2}-1)\,\alpha_0}$,
(c’) with $s = u = 0$, $t = q^{-2}\, \alpha_1$, $v = q^{-4}\, \alpha_1$ and $\beta_1 \neq 0 \neq \beta_2$,
(c’) with $s = u = 0$, $t = q^2\, \alpha_1$, $v = q^{-2}\, \alpha_1$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta'_2} = \frac{\alpha_1}{(q^{-2}-1)\,\alpha_0}$, $\beta_2 \neq 0$.

We have carried out the calculations for all embeddings of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{qc})$ in $\mathcal{A}$. For each embedding, ε determines a classical point of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{qc})$, i.e. an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{qc}) \to \mathbf{C}$; if $c \neq c(1)$, this is a one-to-one correspondence. The equivariant derivations of $\mathcal{B}_c$ corresponding to the solutions 1–7 are given by $\mathrm{d}b\, a = \chi(b_{(1)}) \otimes b_{(2)}\, a$. They are independent of the embedding and satisfy $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} = 2$ for each classical point ε, in the case of the solutions 1, 2 and 5–7 also $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d} = 2$; all this is proved in Section 4. The solutions for $c = 0$, not being coboundaries if $\alpha_{-1} = 0 = \alpha_1$, do not correspond to derivations with an $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathrm{d})$ for which $\mathrm{d}a = \omega\, a - a\, \omega$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}_c$. If $\mathrm{d}a = \sum_i \mathrm{d}e_i\, a_i$, then $\chi(a) = \chi(\sum_i \varepsilon(a_i)\, e_i)$. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \chi(\mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{e_{-1}, e_0, e_1\}) = 1$ for the solutions 3, 5 and 6, but $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \chi(\mathcal{B}_c) = 2$, these do not correspond to derivations for which $\{\mathrm{d}e_{-1}, \mathrm{d}e_0, \mathrm{d}e_1\}$ generates $\Gamma(\mathrm{d})$ as a right $\mathcal{B}_c$-module.

4. CONSTRUCTIONS

We retain the notations of Section 3. In the case of a quantum group, $\mathcal{A}$ is coquasitriangular, i.e. equipped with a $\mathbf{C}$-linear map $r : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{C}$ which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &r(a_{(1)} \otimes b_{(1)})\, a_{(2)}\, b_{(2)} = b_{(1)}\, a_{(1)}\, r(a_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)}), \\ &r(a\, b \otimes c) = r(a \otimes c_{(1)})\, r(b \otimes c_{(2)}), \qquad r(1 \otimes c) = \varepsilon(c), \\ &r(a \otimes b\, c) = r(a_{(1)} \otimes c)\, r(a_{(2)} \otimes b), \qquad r(a \otimes 1) = \varepsilon(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all a, b, $c \in \mathcal{A}$. We use a construction method introduced in [4].

Lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ a right $\mathcal{A}$-comodule algebra. Let $b_1, \ldots, b_N \in \mathcal{B}$ be $\mathbf{C}$-linearly independent elements, $\Delta(b_i) = \sum_j b_j \otimes \psi^j_i$ and ν a comodule algebra endomorphism of $\mathcal{B}$. Let Γ be the $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule generated by the symbols $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^N$ with the relations $a\,\gamma^j = \sum_i \gamma^i\,\nu(a_{(1)})\,r(\psi^j_i \otimes a_{(2)})$, $a \in \mathcal{B}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Then $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^N$ is a basis of Γ as a right $\mathcal{B}$-module, and $\mathrm{d}a := \omega\,a - a\,\omega$ with $\omega = \sum_i \gamma^i\,b_i$ defines an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, if ν is bijective, then $\gamma^i\,a = \sum_j \nu^{-1}(a_{(1)})\,r(\psi^i_j \otimes S(a_{(2)}))\,\gamma^j$, and $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^N$ is a basis of Γ as a left $\mathcal{B}$-module, too. $\square$

We set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))$ and denote by u^i_j, $i, j = 1, 2$, the canonical generators of $\mathcal{A}$. Then r is defined by $r(u^i_k \otimes u^j_l) = q^{-1/2}\,R^{ij}_{kl}$, where R is the R-matrix of $\mathrm{SL}_q(2)$ specified in [12].

If $c = -q/(q+1)^2$ and $x_i \in \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{u^1_i, u^2_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$, with

$$\frac{\varepsilon(x_1)}{\varepsilon(x_2)} = \frac{q+1}{q}\,\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(x_1)^2 = -\frac{q+1}{q\,(q-1)}\,\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0},$$

then the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by x_1, x_2 is generated by x_1, x_2 with the relation $x_1\,x_2 - q\,x_2\,x_1 = 1$, and it contains $\mathcal{B}_c$ as the subalgebra of the elements of even degree (x_1, x_2 being of degree 1), cf. [5]. The lemma with $N = 2$, $b_i = x_i$ and $\nu = \mathrm{id}$ yields an equivariant derivation d of $\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c}$ is an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}_c$, which is by construction independent of the embedding of $\mathcal{B}_c$ in $\mathcal{A}$. We obtain

$$\mathrm{d}a\,b = \textstyle\sum_i \gamma^i\,\big(x_i\,a - \sum_j a_{(1)}\,x_j\,r(u^j_i \otimes a_{(2)})\big)\,b$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, $\omega = \gamma^1\,x_1 + \gamma^2\,x_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}e_{-1} &= (q-1)\,(-\omega\,e_{-1} + q^{-2}\,\alpha_0\,\gamma^1\,x_2),\\
\mathrm{d}e_0 &= (q-1)\,(-\omega\,e_0 - q^{-2}\,\alpha_0\,(\gamma^1\,x_1 - q^2\,\gamma^2\,x_2)),\\
\mathrm{d}e_1 &= (q-1)\,(-\omega\,e_1 + \alpha_0\,\gamma^2\,x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

and $\omega = \frac{q^2\,(q+1)^2}{(q-1)\,(q^3-1)\,\alpha_0^2}\,\big(\mathrm{d}e_{-1}\,e_1 + (q^2+1)^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}e_0\,e_0 + q^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}e_1\,e_{-1}\big)$, thus $\gamma^i\,x_j \in \Gamma(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c})$ for all $i, j = 1, 2$. We set $\gamma^{in} = \gamma^i\,(-q)^{\delta_{n1}}\,x_{3-n}$ and calculate that

$$\mathrm{d}a\,b = \textstyle\sum_{in} \gamma^{in}\,x_n\,\big(x_i\,a - \sum_j a_{(1)}\,x_j\,r(u^j_i \otimes a_{(2)})\big)\,b$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$, thus $\overline{\mathrm{d}a\,b} = \overline{\sum_{in} \gamma^{in}\,\varepsilon(x_n)\,\chi_i(a)\,\varepsilon(b)}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_c$, where $\overline{} : \Gamma(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c}) \to \Gamma(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c})/(\Gamma(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c})\mathcal{B}_c^+)$ is the canonical projection and $\chi_i(a) = \varepsilon(x_i)\,\varepsilon(a) - r(x_i \otimes a)$. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{\chi_1|_{\mathcal{B}_c}, \chi_2|_{\mathcal{B}_c}\} = 2$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\big\{\overline{\sum_n \gamma^{in}\,\varepsilon(x_n)} \;\big|\; i = 1, 2\big\} = 2$, this implies $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \overline{\Gamma(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c})} = 2$, that is, $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c}) = 2$. Our proof also shows that $\{\mathrm{d}e_{-1}, \mathrm{d}e_0, \mathrm{d}e_1\}$

generates $\Gamma(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c})$ as a right $\mathcal{B}_c$-module. A similar argument starting with

$$\begin{aligned} a\,\mathrm{d}b &= \textstyle\sum_{ijkl}\, a\left(x_l\, b_{(1)}\, r(u^k_i \otimes S(b_{(2)})) - b\, x_l\, \delta_{ik}\right) r(u^j_k \otimes S(u^l_j))\, \gamma^i \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{ijk}\, a\left(\sum_l\, x_l\, b_{(1)}\, r(S(u^l_j) \otimes b_{(2)}) - b\, x_j\right) r(u^k_i \otimes S(u^j_k))\, \gamma^i \end{aligned}$$

shows $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{d}|_{\mathcal{B}_c}) = 2$. For the definition of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{qc})$ as a right $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SO}_{q^2}(3))$-comodule algebra only the square of q is needed, therefore we can replace q by $-q$ and obtain the corresponding result for $c = q/(-q+1)^2$. This establishes the claims about the solutions 1 and 2 in Section 3.

If $c = c(2)$ and $x_i,\, y_i \in \mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{u^1_i,\, u^2_i\}$, $i = 1,\, 2$, with either

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{(i)} & \frac{\varepsilon(x_1)}{\varepsilon(x_2)} = \frac{(q^4+1)\,\alpha_1}{q^4\,\alpha_0} \quad \text{and} & \frac{\varepsilon(y_1)}{\varepsilon(y_2)} = \frac{(q^4+1)\,\alpha_1}{q\,\alpha_0} & \quad \text{or} \\ \text{(ii)} & \frac{\varepsilon(x_1)}{\varepsilon(x_2)} = \frac{(q^4+1)\,\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \quad \text{and} & \frac{\varepsilon(y_1)}{\varepsilon(y_2)} = \frac{(q^4+1)\,\alpha_1}{q^5\,\alpha_0}, & \end{array}$$

then $y_i\, x_j \in \mathcal{B}_c$ for all $i,\, j = 1,\, 2$, and $x_1\, y_2 - q\, x_2\, y_1 = \zeta\, 1$ with $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Moreover, $\sum_m\, u^i_m\, \tau_{jm}(e_k) = \sum_{mn}\, \tau_{mi}(e_n)\, u^m_j\, \pi^n_k$ for the solution (f) in Section 3. We consider the $\mathcal{B}_c$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule with the right $\mathcal{A}$-module basis γ^1, γ^2 and the left $\mathcal{B}_c$-module operation $a\,\gamma^j = \sum_i\, \tau_{ij}(a)\,\gamma^i$. Then $\mathrm{d}a := \omega\, a - a\, \omega$ with $\omega = \sum_i\, \gamma^i\, x_i$ defines an equivariant derivation of $\mathcal{B}_c$:

$$\mathrm{d}a\, b = \textstyle\sum_i\, \gamma^i \left(x_i\, a - \sum_j\, \tau_{ij}(a)\, x_j\right) b$$

for all $a,\, b \in \mathcal{B}_c$. In particular, $\omega = \gamma^1\, x_1 + \gamma^2\, x_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}e_{-1} &= \omega\, e_{-1} - \tfrac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\, \gamma^1\, x_2, \\ \mathrm{d}e_0 &= \omega\, e_0 + \tfrac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\, (\gamma^1\, x_1 - q^2\, \gamma^2\, x_2), \\ \mathrm{d}e_1 &= \omega\, e_1 - q^2\, \tfrac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\, \gamma^2\, x_1. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\omega = \frac{(q^4+1)^2}{(q^2-1)^2\,(q^2+1)\,\alpha_0^2}\left(\mathrm{d}e_{-1}\, e_1 + (q^2+1)^{-1}\, \mathrm{d}e_0\, e_0 + q^{-2}\, \mathrm{d}e_1\, e_{-1}\right)$ in the case (ii), in which we see that $\{\mathrm{d}e_{-1},\, \mathrm{d}e_0,\, \mathrm{d}e_1\}$ generates $\Gamma(\mathrm{d})$ as a right $\mathcal{B}_c$-module. Using $\gamma^{in} = \gamma^i\, \zeta^{-1}\, (-q)^{\delta_{n1}}\, x_{3-n}$, we can proceed like before to show $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}}\mathrm{d} = 2$. In the case (i), since the left action of $\mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{1,\, e_{-1},\, e_0,\, e_1\}$ on $\mathrm{Lin}_{\mathbf{C}}\{\gamma^1,\, \gamma^2\}$ consists of all $\mathbf{C}$-linear endomorphisms, $\{\mathrm{d}e_j,\, e_i\, \mathrm{d}e_j \mid i,\, j = -1,\, 0,\, 1\}$ generates $\Gamma(\mathrm{d})$ as a right $\mathcal{B}_c$-module. Exploiting e.g. the relations

$$q^2\, e_{-1}\, \mathrm{d}e_0 = \left(e_0 - q^2\, \tfrac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\right) \mathrm{d}e_{-1}, \quad q^{-2}\, e_1\, \mathrm{d}e_0 = \left(e_0 + \tfrac{q^2-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\right) \mathrm{d}e_1,$$
$$\mathrm{d}e_{-1}\left(q^2\, e_0 - \tfrac{q^6-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\right) = \mathrm{d}e_0\, e_{-1}, \quad \mathrm{d}e_1\left(e_0 + \tfrac{q^6-1}{q^4+1}\, \alpha_0\right) = q^2\, \mathrm{d}e_0\, e_1,$$
$$(q^2+1)\, \mathrm{d}e_{-1}\, e_1 + \mathrm{d}e_0\, e_0 + (q^{-2}+1)\, \mathrm{d}e_1\, e_{-1} = 0$$

we get $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} \leq 2$, while $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} \geq 2$ follows from Theorem 1 (iii). However, one checks that in both cases $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d} = 0$. We identify the cases (i) and (ii) with the solutions 3 and 4 in Section 3.

We take the basis $\tilde{e}_{-2}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_2$ in [10] of the spin 2 subcomodule of $\mathcal{B}_c$ for $b_1, \ldots, b_N$ and set $\nu = \mathrm{id}$ in the above lemma. This yields the equivariant derivation d of $\mathcal{B}_c$ which is given by $\mathrm{d}b\, a = \tilde{\chi}(b_{(1)}) \otimes b_{(2)}\, a$ with $\tilde{\chi}_i(b) = r(\tilde{e}_i \otimes b) - \varepsilon(\tilde{e}_i)\, \varepsilon(b)$ for all $a,\, b \in \mathcal{B}_c$, $i = -2, \ldots, 2$. If $c = 0$, then it corresponds to each case of the solution 5 in Section 3. To compute this, we write $\tilde{\chi}_i$ in terms of $l(a) = r(u^1_1 \otimes a)$, $l^{-1}(a) = r(u^2_2 \otimes a)$ and $l^{(-)}(a) = -q\, r(u^2_1 \otimes a)$, while $r(u^1_2 \otimes a) = 0$, and use the relations $l\, l^{-1} = \varepsilon = l^{-1}\, l$, $l\, l^{(-)} = q\, l^{(-)}\, l$ and $(f\, g)|_{\mathcal{B}_c} = 0$, where f is defined in Section 3 and g is any **C**-linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, we can restrict ourselves to $\alpha_{-1} = 0 = \alpha_1$ to calculate

$$\mathrm{d}(e^3_{-1}) = q^{-6}\, (q^4 + q^2 + 1)\, (q^2\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-2}\, e_{-1} - \mathrm{d}e_{-1}\, \tilde{e}_{-2}).$$

Since d is equivariant, this implies that for each a in the spin 3 subcomodule of $\mathcal{B}_c$, $\mathrm{d}a$, and thus $\Gamma(\mathrm{d})$, is contained in the right $\mathcal{B}_c$-module generated by $\{\mathrm{d}e_{-1}, \mathrm{d}e_0, \mathrm{d}e_1, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-2}, \ldots, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_2\}$. Exploiting e.g. the relations

$$\begin{gathered}
(q^2+1)\, \mathrm{d}e_{-1}\, e_1 + \mathrm{d}e_0\, e_0 + (q^{-2}+1)\, \mathrm{d}e_1\, e_{-1} = 0, \\
\mathrm{d}e_{-1}\, (q^2\, e_0 + \alpha_0) = \mathrm{d}e_0\, e_{-1}, \\
(q^4+1)\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-2}\, (q^2\, e_0 + \alpha_0) - \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-1}\, e_{-1} = q^4\, (q^2+1)\, \alpha_0\, \mathrm{d}e_{-1}\, e_{-1}, \\
\alpha_0^2\, \mathrm{d}e_{-1} = \tfrac{q^4+1}{q^2}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-2}\, e_1 + \tfrac{1}{q^2\,(q^2+1)}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-1}\, e_0 - \tfrac{1}{q^2\,(q^4+q^2+1)}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_0\, e_{-1}, \\
\alpha_0^2\, \mathrm{d}e_0 = \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_{-1}\, e_1 + \tfrac{q^4-1}{q^6-1}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_0\, e_0 + q^{-4}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_1\, e_{-1}, \\
\alpha_0^2\, \mathrm{d}e_1 = -\tfrac{q^4}{q^4+q^2+1}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_0\, e_1 + \tfrac{1}{q^2+1}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_1\, e_0 + \tfrac{q^4+1}{q^4}\, \mathrm{d}\tilde{e}_2\, e_{-1}
\end{gathered}$$

we get $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} \leq 2$, while $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} \geq 2$ follows from Theorem 1 (iii). Similarly, the derivation associated to the solution 6, say, d', arises if r' with $r'(a \otimes b) = r(S(b) \otimes a)$, $a,\, b \in \mathcal{A}$, is used instead of r. With regard to the left $\mathcal{B}_c$-module structure of Γ the derivation d is given by $a\, \mathrm{d}b = \tilde{\chi}'(b_{(1)}) \otimes a\, b_{(2)}$ with $\tilde{\chi}'_i(b) = r(S(\tilde{e}_i) \otimes b) - \varepsilon(\tilde{e}_i)\, \varepsilon(b)$ for all $a,\, b \in \mathcal{B}_c$, $i = -2, \ldots, 2$, thus $\mathrm{d}^* b\, a = \tilde{\chi}'(b^*_{(1)}) \otimes (b_{(2)}\, a)^*$. One checks that $\mathrm{d}' = \mathrm{d}^*$, if $e^*_i = e_{-i}$, and therefore $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d} = \dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d}^* = 2$. Finally, the 2-dimensional covariant differential calculus described in [11] corresponds to the solution 7. It is equal to $\mathrm{d}_{(\mathcal{B}^+_c)^2}$, if $\alpha_{-1} = 0 = \alpha_1$.

The classification problem in Section 3 with $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{d} = 2$ instead of $\dim_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{d} = 2$ is equivalent, because the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))$ with the opposite multiplication is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_{q^{-1}}(2))$ and correspondingly the right comodule algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{qc})$ with the opposite multiplication to

$\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{S}^2_{q^{-1}c})$. It is still open for $c = c(0), c(1), \ldots$ and for $\rho = \lambda = 0$. Since in the one-to-one correspondence in Theorem 1 (iii) only the trivial derivation $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{B}^+}$ satisfies $\dim_{\varepsilon,1} \mathrm{d} = 0$, the equivalents with the opposite comultiplication of the equivariant derivations for $c = c(2)$ constructed above do not occur, i.e. they do not arise from right ideals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Prof. K. Schmüdgen for showing his interest in my work and to S. Kolb for detailed discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the scope of the postgraduate scholarship programme "Graduiertenkolleg Quantenfeldtheorie" at the University of Leipzig.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Apel and K. Schmüdgen, Classification of three-dimensional covariant differential calculi on Podles' quantum spheres and on related spaces, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **32** (1994), 25–36.

[2] M. S. Dijkhuizen, Some remarks on the construction of quantum symmetric spaces, *Acta Appl. Math.* **44** (1996), 59–80, `math.QA/9512225`.

[3] M. S. Dijkhuizen and T. H. Koornwinder, Quantum homogeneous spaces, duality, and quantum 2-spheres, *Geom. Dedicata* **52** (1994), 291–315.

[4] U. Hermisson, Construction of covariant differential calculi on quantum homogeneous spaces, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **46** (1998), 313–322, `math.QA/9806008`.

[5] E. F. Müller, Konstruktion von Rechtscoidealunteralgebren, Degree Dissertation, Munich, 1995.

[6] E. F. Müller, private communication, October 1998.

[7] E. F. Müller and H.-J. Schneider, Quantum homogeneous spaces with faithfully flat module structures, *Israel J. Math.* **111** (1999), 157–190.

[8] M. Noumi and T. Sugitani, Quantum symmetric spaces and related q-orthogonal polynomials, in: A. Arima et al. (eds), *Group theoretical methods in Physics,* Proceedings XX ICGTMP, Toyonaka (Japan), 1994, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995, p. 28–40, `math/9503225`.

[9] P. Podleś, Quantum spheres, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** (1987), 193–202.

[10] P. Podleś, Differential calculus on quantum spheres, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **18** (1989), 107–119.

[11] P. Podleś, The classification of differential structures on quantum 2-spheres, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992), 167–179.

[12] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 193–225.

[13] J. V. Stokman and M. S. Dijkhuizen, Quantized flag manifolds and irreducible $*$-representations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **203** (1999), 297–324, `math.QA/9802086`.

[14] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras,* W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[15] M. Takeuchi, Relative Hopf modules—equivalences and freeness criteria, *J. Algebra* **60** (1979), 452–471.

[16] S. L. Woronowicz, Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), *Comm. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989), 125–170.